

## Academic Misconduct

### 1. Introduction

These Regulations came into force on 1 January 2022.

### 2. Authority

The Tumu Tuarua Akoranga | Deputy Vice-Chancellor Academic holds authority for these Regulations.

### 3. Scope

- (a) These Regulations apply to all students of the University.
- (b) All rights of appeal from these Regulations are set out in the Appeals Regulations.

### 4. Academic Misconduct

- (a) Academic integrity means acting honestly, fairly, ethically, responsibly and with due care in academic work.
- (b) Academic misconduct is student conduct that is in breach of academic integrity.
- (c) The standard of proof applicable to academic misconduct is on the balance of probabilities.

### 5. Academic Integrity Officer

- (a) The Academic Integrity Officer will be responsible in the first instance for the investigation of academic misconduct.
- (b) As part of any investigation the Academic Integrity Officer must give the student a reasonable opportunity to respond to the allegation of academic misconduct.
- (c) If, after investigating the conduct, the Academic Integrity Officer considers that academic misconduct may have occurred, the Academic Integrity Officer may, instead of imposing a penalty, refer the matter to the University Pou Uruhi | Proctor.
- (d) For any work which is determined to be the result of academic misconduct, the Academic Integrity Officer, after consulting with the course coordinator, may:
  - i. deny or partially deny credit for the work; and/or
  - ii. resolve the matter in any other reasonable way.

### 6. Pou Uruhi | Proctor

- (a) The Pou Uruhi | Proctor will be responsible for the investigation of academic misconduct that is referred from the Academic Integrity Officer.
- (b) As part of any investigation the Pou Uruhi | Proctor must give the student a reasonable opportunity to respond to the allegation of academic misconduct.
- (c) If, after investigating the conduct, the Pou Uruhi | Proctor considers that serious academic misconduct may have occurred, the Pou Uruhi | Proctor may refer the matter to the Misconduct Committee.
- (d) If the P

**7. POU URUHI | PROCTOR MISCONDUCT COMMITTEE**

- (a) The Misconduct Committee will hear and determine cases on alleged academic misconduct that are:
- i. referred to the Misconduct Committee by the Pou Uruhi | Proctor under Regulations 6(c) or 6(f);
  - ii. appealed by a student from a decision of the Academic Integrity Officer in accordance with the Appeal Regulations; and/or
  - iii. appealed by a student from a decision of the Pou Uruhi | Proctor in accordance with the Appeal Regulations.
- (b) If the Misconduct Committee determines that academic misconduct has occurred, the Misconduct Committee may impose any combination of the following penalties:
- i. a reprimand;
  - ii. the denial or partial denial of credit in any course;
  - iii. a direction that the student apologise in writing or in person (or both);
  - iv. a fine not exceeding that specified in the Fees and Fines Regulations;
  - v. a requirement that the student undertake specified unpaid University or community service not exceeding 40 hours in duration;
  - vi. the suspension of the student for a specified period of time or until a specified condition is met;
  - vii. the expulsion of the student; and/or
  - viii. award an 'X' grade for a course, where all credit for a course is denied.

**8. OUA | PROCTOR**

- (a) The Pou Uruhi | Proctor may impose interim measures on a student alleged to have engaged in academic